

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,210	09/854,210 05/11/2001		Troy A. Recknagel	BRU06 P-408A	8375
277	7590	07/02/2003			
		D COOPER DEW	EXAMINER		
695 KENN P O BOX	,	i.	ANDERSON, GERALD A		
GRAND F	CAPIDS, M	II 49501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3637	
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\checkmark					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/854,210	RECKNAGEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JERRY A ANDERSON	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 /</u>	March 2003						
	is action is non-final.						
,		raccourtion as to the morite in					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27,28,32,33,39 and 40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26,29-31 and 34-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner notes the applicant's use of the term "scoring" as an adjective for "unit". Any computer can be used as a scoring unit. As the Examiner understands the game of bowling a scoring unit can be a piece of paper or a computer and some means of communicating or projecting the scores as recorded. The applicant is claiming only a table and a unit on a support rotatable relative to the table. For the claims to define a assembly including scoring for the game of bowling the unit would have to be programmed for that purpose and the scores recorded on the unit would have to be communicated information. Since the applicant has not defined any structures supporting the scoring by the unit any rotatable supported computer at a table meets the broad claims.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 27, 28, 32, 33, 39, 40 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the original claims were directed to the combination of a table and a unit assembly rotatable mounted with respect to the table. The noted new claims define the table and assembly in combination with chairs mounted to the table. This new combination is the subject mater of class 297 and outside the purview of the originally presented combination.

Art Unit: 3637

The applicant has elected Figure 10 and shows a keyboard. Since the touch screen is disclosed as an alternative to the keyboard claim 33 is withdrawn.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 27, 28, 32, 33, 39 and 40 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the support of the top surface by the column and central core must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23-25, 29, 30, 36 and 37 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are objected to because the support of the table by column and central core are not clearly shown in the drawings. The language of the claims must clearly distinguish the elements of the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/854,210

Art Unit: 3637

claims. Therefore the unit must be part of the assembly not "rotatably supported by the assembly" in claim 21, suggest deleting the bold printed words.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21-25, 29, 30, 34-37, as presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Smith et al. Smith is cited showing a table 12 with sides and a top having a circular aperture at 88 a column 14 on a floor and rotatably supporting a base 84, 86 and a display 20 in the aperture. The column also supports the table.

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 26, 31, 38, as presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as applied to claims above, and further in view of Reuter. Smith fails to show a keyboard mounted with the display. Reuter is cited showing a keyboard mounted with a display for the purpose viewing both together. Since the references are from the same field of endeavor the purpose of Reuter would have been obvious in the pertinent art of Smith at the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified Smith with a keyboard mounted with the display. Reuter is cited showing a keyboard mounted with a display for the purpose viewing both together in view of Reuter.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3637

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 6

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 038

2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 24668. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for

regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308

2197.

Jaa

June 29, 2003

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamamar